



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,377	05/05/2006	Bei Wang	CN030046US1	5700

24737 7590 12/06/2010
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

BAROT, BHARAT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2455

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/06/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

1. Amended claims 1-16 remain for further examination.

The new grounds of rejection

2. Applicants' amendments and arguments with respect to claims 1-16 filed on September 07, 2010 have been fully considered but they are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Rejections - 35 USC § 102(e)

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
4. Claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Otsuka et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0170011). Otsuka's patent application meets all the limitations for claims 1, 4-6, 9-11, and 14-16 recited in the claimed invention.
5. As to claim 1, Otsuka et al teach an optical disc playing method (see abstract and figures 1-2, and page 2 pars. 0021-0022), comprising: receiving a command from an user, which requires playing a part of content of a program contained on an optical disc; sending a request which requires related information of the part of content be

Art Unit: 2455

provided, the request including at least identification information of the optical disc (figures 4A-4B, and page 3 pars. 0025-0031); receiving the related information, the related information including navigation information; and playing the part of content in synchronization with the received related information, wherein the synchronization utilizes the received navigation information in coordination with navigation information on the optical disc (figures 5-6, and page 4 pars.0034-0037).

6. As to claims 4-5, Otsuka et al teach that the information corresponding to the part of content includes the audio information (HTML documents) and the caption information (titles and chapters) (figures 4A-4B, and page 3 pars. 0026-0027).

7. As to claims 6 and 9-10, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1 and 4-5 above, since claims 6 and 9-10 are merely an apparatus for the method of operations defined in the method claims 1 and 4-5.

8. As to claim 11, Otsuka et al teach a method for transferring downloaded information during playing (figures 1-3, pages 2-3 pars.0021-0024), comprising: receiving a downloading request which requires downloading information corresponding to a part of content of a program contained on an optical disc, the request including at least identification information of the optical disc; identifying the part of the content in the downloading request (figures 4A-4B, and page 3 pars. 0025-0031); and outputting the information corresponding to the part of content of the downloading request in

Art Unit: 2455

synchronization with the part of content, wherein the synchronization utilizes navigation information of the information in coordination with navigation information on the optical disc(figures 5-6, and page 4 pars.0034-0037).

9. As to claim 14, Otsuka et al teach that searching the information corresponding to the part of content of the downloading request (figure 4s-6, and page 3 pars. 0025-0031).

10. As to claims 15-16, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 4-5 above, since claims 15-16 do not teach or define any new limitations than above claims 4-5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

11. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

12. Claims 2-3, 7-8, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Otsuka et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0170011) in view of Yun (U.S. Patent No. 7,565,672).

Art Unit: 2455

13. As to claims 2-3, Otsuka et al do not teach that the part of content of a program contained on the optical disc includes a part of content corresponding to a play-list and the request includes a language selected by the user.

Yun teaches that the part of content of a program contained on the optical disc includes a part of content corresponding to a play-list (figures 1-2; and column 4 lines 31-60) and the request includes a language selected by the user (figures 3-4; column 2 lines 26-53; and column 4 line 61 to column 5 line 39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Yun stated above in the method of Otsuka et al for playing optical disc because it would have improved control for optical disc player and increased efficiency and utilization of the optical disc player by serializing of the data with number or language.

14. As to claims 7-8, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 2-3 above, since claims 7-8 are merely an apparatus for the method of operations defined in the method claims 2-3.

15. As to claims 12-13, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 2-3 above, since claims 12-13 do not teach or define any new limitations than above claims 2-3.

Art Unit: 2455

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered. The examiner has attempted to answer (response) to the remarks (arguments) in the body of the Office action.

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Additional Reference

18. The examiner as of general interest cites the following reference.

- a. Capitant, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0078891 A1.

Contact Information

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bharat Barot** whose Telephone Number is **(571) 272-3979**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM. Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number **(571) 273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2455

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Saleh Najjar**, can be reached at **(571) 272-4006**.

/Bharat N Barot/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455

November 10, 2010